Two-Photon Luminescence Imaging of Cancer Cells Using Molecularly Targeted Gold Nanorods
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ABSTRACT

We demonstrate the use of gold nanorods as bright contrast agents for two-photon luminescence (TPL) imaging of cancer cells in a three-dimensional tissue phantom down to 75 μm deep. The TPL intensity from gold-nanorod-labeled cancer cells is 3 orders of magnitude brighter than the two-photon autofluorescence (TPAF) emission intensity from unlabeled cancer cells at 760 nm excitation light. Their strong signal, resistance to photobleaching, chemical stability, ease of synthesis, simplicity of conjugation chemistry, and biocompatibility make gold nanorods an attractive contrast agent for two-photon imaging of epithelial cancer.

More than 85% of all cancers begin as precancerous lesions that are confined to the surface epithelium, which can be as thick as 500 μm in human tissue. Two-photon imaging (TPI) is a powerful technique for the early diagnosis of epithelial cancers because it permits noninvasive imaging of subcellular features potentially hundreds of micrometers deep into tissue.1–3 A recent study has demonstrated that morphological and fluorescence quantification from TPI of endogenous fluorophores can be used to distinguish cancerous and precancerous from normal tissue down to 40 μm deep.4 By attaching a fluorescent contrast agent to a nonfluorescent target of interest, TPI also has the ability to monitor a variety of additional biomolecular signatures that are more strongly indicative of cancer. The promise of this technique has prompted researchers to investigate the use of traditional and engineered organic fluorophores5 as well as new classes of luminescent contrast agents such as quantum dots5 and metallic nanoparticles.7–10 Though fluorescent semiconductor quantum dots offer much larger two-photon action cross-sections than organic fluorophores (> 10 000 GM compared to 1–300 GM for organic fluorophores),11 the most well-studied quantum dots have heavy metals that are cytotoxic, making them unsuitable for in vivo clinical applications. Gold nanoparticles, on the other hand, are biocompatible12 and can have large two-photon action cross-sections (larger than 2000 GM for gold nanorods).9

Single-photon-induced luminescence was first reported from bulk copper and gold by Mooradian in 1969.13 Later, Boyd et al. found that roughened metal surfaces exhibited much higher single-photon-induced luminescence efficiency than smooth surfaces. They also found that two-photon-induced luminescence, which depends quadratically on excitation intensity, could be observed from roughened surfaces.14 The luminescence enhancement from roughened surfaces is likely a result of efficient resonant coupling of specific frequencies of light to surface plasmons. Two-photon luminescence (TPL) has been identified as a serial process involving sequential absorption of photons and emission from the recombination of electrons in the sp-band and holes in the d-band.15 This absorption process in TPL is different than two-photon excitation in fluorophores, which requires near-simultaneous absorption of two coherent photons.

Gold nanorods exhibit highly efficient single- and two-photon-induced luminescence,9,16 which may be due to their
ability to sustain resonating surface plasmons with minimal damping.\textsuperscript{17} Furthermore, their longitudinal plasmonic resonance can be tuned to the near-infrared wavelengths, where biological tissue exhibit relatively small extinction coefficients. The TPL emission spectra shows that much of the emission light is also in the NIR region.\textsuperscript{9} These optical properties make gold nanorods an attractive contrast agent for biomedical imaging of highly scattered tissue.\textsuperscript{15,18} However, relatively few efforts have explored the applications of gold nanorods as contrast agents for imaging,\textsuperscript{8,9,19} and no reports have been found that use gold nanorods for molecularly specific nonlinear imaging.

We present TPL images of gold-nanorod-labeled cancer cells acquired up to 75 μm deep in a tissue phantom. By embedding cells in a collagen matrix, the performance of nanorods as contrast agents for deep-tissue imaging was explored. We found that TPL imaging of labeled cancer cells could be performed using less than 60 times the laser excitation power needed for two-photon autofluorescence (TPAF) imaging from unlabeled cancer cells, corresponding to a 3 orders-of-magnitude increase in emitted signal for equal excitation intensity.

Imaging was performed on a home-built inverted multi-photon microscope shown in Figure 1. A femtosecond Ti:sapphire laser (Mai Tai, Spectra Physics, Newport) was used as the excitation source. The pulse length at the sample at 760 nm wavelength was estimated to be 250 fs using a two-photon absorption collinear autocorrelator with a photodiode (G1117, Hamamatsu) and an objective lens group delay dispersion value of 3520 fs\textsuperscript{2}.\textsuperscript{20} The laser was raster scanned into the back aperture of an oil-immersion objective lens (NA = 1.4, 64×, Zeiss) with a set of galvanometric scanning mirrors (6215H, Cambridge Technologies). Emitted light was epicollected, reflected by a cold mirror (400–700 nm reflectance, HT-1.00, CVI Laser), passed thorough a laser filter (BG-38, Schott, blocks >700 nm), detected with a cooled GaAsP photomultiplier tube (H7422-40, Hamamatsu), and assembled into an image in real-time with a data acquisition card (6111E, National Instruments). Given the response of the PMT and optics used, the system collected emission light between 400 and 700 nm. A field of view of 145 μm was scanned into a 512×512 pixel image at a rate of 1.5 frames per second. The sample was moved in the axial direction with a piezoelectric actuator (P280, Physik Instrumente).

Gold nanorods were synthesized using a seed-mediated, surfactant-assisted growth method in a two-step procedure.\textsuperscript{21–23} Colloidal gold seeds (~1.5 nm diameter) were first prepared by mixing aqueous solutions of hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, 0.1 M, 9.75 mL) and hydrogen tetrachloroaurate(III) hydrate (0.01 M, 250 μL). (Note: For nanorods to form, we found it necessary to use low-purity CTAB from Fluka (96% purity), as nanorods did not form when high-purity CTAB (>99% purity) was used.)\textsuperscript{24} An aqueous solution of sodium borohydride (0.01 M, 600 μL) was then added.\textsuperscript{25,26} The colloidal gold seeds were then injected into an aqueous “growth solution” of CTAB (0.1 M, 9.5 mL), silver nitrate (0.01 M, varying amounts of silver between 20 and 120 μL depending on desired nanorod aspect ratio), hydrogen tetrachloroaurate(III) hydrate (0.01 M, 250 μL). (Note: For nanorods to form, we found it necessary to use low-purity CTAB from Fluka (96% purity), as nanorods did not form when high-purity CTAB (>99% purity) was used.)\textsuperscript{24} An aqueous solution of sodium borohydride (0.01 M, 600 μL) was then added.\textsuperscript{25,26} The colloidal gold seeds were then injected into an aqueous “growth solution” of CTAB (0.1 M, 9.5 mL), silver nitrate (0.01 M, varying amounts of silver between 20 and 120 μL depending on desired nanorod aspect ratio), hydrogen tetrachloroaurate(III) hydrate (0.01 M, 500 μL), and ascorbic acid (0.1 M, 55 μL). Nanorods were purified by several cycles of suspending them in ultrapure water, followed by centrifugation. The nanorods were isolated in the precipitate, and excess CTAB was removed in the supernatant. Figure 2a shows a transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of synthesized gold nanorods that have an average aspect ratio of 3.4 ± 0.6. Figure 2b shows that the longitudinal plasmon mode of these nanorods is centered at 754 nm.
A concentration of 6 × 10^6 cells/mL as determined with a hemacytometer. The cell suspension was mixed with either EGFR-overexpressing A431 skin cancer cells or cultivated in DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS. Cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection and EGFR-overexpressing A431 skin cancer cells. The cells were centrifuged to remove unbound antibodies. PBS) was then added for stability, and the particles were interact for 45 min. PEG (MW 15 kD, 10 mg/mL in 1 mM NaCl solution) was added to the nanorod suspension in a 1:10 volume ratio and allowed to interact for 30 min. The particles were then collected via centrifugation at 2000g for 30 min, resuspended in NaCl (1 mM), and reacted with another aliquot of PSS solution. Following the second PSS incubation, the particles were washed twice in water and then resuspended in HEPES (40 mM, pH 7.4) for compatibility with the antibody solution. Anti-EGFR antibody (clone MOPC 21, Sigma) was purified using Centricon 100 kD MWCO filters, then resuspended in HEPES (40 mM, pH 7.4, 200 μg/mL). For the control, a nonspecific antibody was used in place of the anti-EGFR antibody (clone MOPC 21, Sigma). Antibody solution and nanorods were mixed at 1:1 volume ratio and allowed to interact for 45 min. PEG (MW 15 kD, 10 mg/mL in 1× PBS) was then added for stability, and the particles were centrifuged to remove unbound antibodies.

Three-dimensional tissue phantoms were prepared using EGFR-overexpressing A431 skin cancer cells. The cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS. Cells were harvested via trypsinization and resuspended in 1× PBS at a concentration of 6 × 10^6 cells/mL as determined with a hemacytometer. The cell suspension was mixed with either EGFR-targeted or nonspecifically targeted nanorods in a 1:1 volume ratio and allowed to interact for 45 min. The cells were then spun down at 200g for 5 min to remove unbound particles, and the cells were resuspended in a buffered collagen solution at a concentration of 7.5 × 10^5 cells/mL. The collagen/cell mix was pipetted into a 120 μm spacer (Molecular Probes) and sealed with a coverslip for imaging.

Two-photon-induced luminescence from the gold nanorods was verified by measuring the dependence of the emission intensity on the excitation power. Gold nanorods were sparsely deposited on a glass coverslip, and single emission spots were examined. A quadratic dependence of 2.05 ± 0.06 on the incident power was observed for increasing excitation powers from 30 to 90 μW, indicating that the excitation is a two-photon process (Figure 2c). The TPL signal also exhibited cosine^4 dependence on excitation polarization, which has been observed in other reports. When a droplet of PBS was placed on the nanorod-coated coverslip, emission from nanorods that maintained their attachment to the coverslip was unchanged in both magnitude and the quadratic dependence of emission intensity. The point spread function (PSF) of the TPL imaging setup was obtained by recording the intensities of small spots that exhibited strong polarization sensitivity. From the full width at half-maximum of the PSF, the radial and axial resolutions were 320 and 625 nm, respectively. A similar resolution was also measured from the PSF of 100 nm diameter fluorescent beads.

Figure 3 presents two-photon images of a single layer of labeled and unlabeled cells at 760 nm excitation wavelength. The images show successful labeling, cellular distribution of EGFR, and relative brightness of nanorods. The unlabeled cells (Figure 3a) show a relatively uniform distribution of TPAF signal throughout cellular cytoplasm. No signal is associated with the nuclei, which do not have significant concentrations of endogenous fluorophores that can be excited in the visible region. In labeled cells (Figure 3b), bright rings can be easily seen; this is a characteristic pattern of EGFR labeling and has been also reported in confocal reflectance imaging of EGFR using spherical gold nanoparticles. The discrete bright spots in the cytoplasm of nanorod-labeled cells are indicative of endosomal uptake of EGFR receptors labeled with nanorods inside cells. The endosomal recycling of EGFR molecules is a normal biological function of living cancer cells, and TPL images of cells treated with nonspecifically conjugated nanorods (Figure 3c) show agglomeration of contrast agent and little attachment to cell membranes (see Figure S1 in Supporting Information for overlapped TPL and white-light transmission images). Using the same excitation power of 140 μW, the two-photon imaging of unlabeled cells gave a pure background noise black image (see Figure S2 in Supporting Information).

By tuning the wavelength from 710 to 910 nm, it was found that 760 nm excitation wavelength yielded the brightest TPL signal from the nanorods as well as the brightest TPAF signal from the cancer cells. This wavelength corresponds to the longitudinal plasmon resonance frequency of the nanorods. For the cancer cells, this finding is consistent with other reports that show that the biological molecules that...
are primarily responsible for the signal in TPAF imaging (NADH and flavins) have two-photon cross-sections that increase with decreasing excitation wavelength from 1000 to 750 nm, and level off around 750 nm. The similarity of the optimal excitation wavelengths for both the gold nanorods and cellular autofluorescence allows a comparison of the two imaging modalities under identical excitation conditions. We found that TPL imaging of nanorod-labeled cells required 64 times less power than TPAF imaging of unlabeled cells in order to achieve the similar collected intensity. Given the quadratic dependence of emission intensity on the incident power, this observation implies that, for equal excitation powers, TPL imaging of nanorod-labeled cancer cells can generate more than 4000 times larger emission signal than TPAF imaging of unlabeled cells.

To test the imaging ability of TPL deep into tissue, we imaged cancer cells embedded in a collagen matrix that mimics the epithelium tissue. Figure 4 compares TPL with TPAF images of cancer cells obtained at different depths. To avoid delivery of large powers at deep levels, we increased our PMT gain and reduced imaging power compared to the configuration in single cell layer imaging. The high gain allowed imaging at ten times less power than the single layer cell experiments. To maintain a constant detected intensity throughout the phantoms, a 26% power increase was required for each 20 μm increase in imaging depth. For TPAF and TPL imaging, this corresponded to a total power increase of 0.9 to 1.8 mW and from 35 to 70 μW, respectively. The excitation power ratio of 26 between the two imaging modalities indicates 675 times brighter TPL than TPAF. The difference in brightness between nanorod labeled cells in Figures 3 and 4 is likely related to the density of nanorods per cell and how the nanorods are distributed over the cell membrane. We found that we could image up to the working distance of the lens in both phantoms, but images deeper than 70 μm were severely degraded by spherical aberrations due to the refractive index mismatch between the immersion oil and the aqueous sample. Remarkably, both TPL and TPAF images required the same increase in the excitation power at increasing imaging depths in order to maintain a constant emission intensity, indicating that even though nanorods are typically considered very strong scatterers of light, the bulk extinction coefficient was not appreciably increased in nanorod-labeled phantoms.

We have demonstrated the effective use of gold nanorods as bright contrast agents for TPL imaging. By labeling cancer cells with anti-EGFR nanorod conjugates, molecular-specific imaging could be carried out with very high signal-to-noise ratios deep into a tissue phantom. In cases where imaging depths will be limited by the maximum available power that can be delivered to the imaging plane without causing damage to tissue, gold nanorods might provide sufficient brightness to extend the maximum depth of imaging. Additionally, we have shown that the use of gold nanorods can expand the capabilities of TPI to allow noninvasive three-dimensional imaging of a variety of new molecular signatures.
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