Axonal Regeneration Proceeds Through Specific Axonal Fusion in Transected C. elegans Neurons

Brent Neumann,¹ Ken C. Q. Nguyen,² David H. Hall,² Adela Ben-Yakar,³ and Massimo A. Hilliard¹*

Functional neuronal recovery following injury arises when severed axons reconnect with their targets. In Caenorhabditis elegans following laser-induced axotomy, the axon still attached to the cell body is able to regrow and reconnect with its separated distal fragment. Here we show that reconnection of separated axon fragments during regeneration of C. elegans mechanosensory neurons occurs through a mechanism of axonal fusion, which prevents Wallerian degeneration of the distal fragment. Through electron microscopy analysis and imaging with the photoconvertible fluorescent protein Kaede, we show that the fusion process re-establishes membrane continuity and repristinates anterograde and retrograde cytoplasmic diffusion. We also provide evidence that axonal fusion occurs with a remarkable level of accuracy, with the proximal re-growing axon recognizing its own separated distal fragment. Thus, efficient axonal regeneration can occur by selective reconnection and fusion of separated axonal fragments beyond an injury site, with restoration of the damaged neuronal tract. Developmental Dynamics 240:1365–1372, 2011. © 2011 Wiley-Liss, Inc.
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INTRODUCTION

For an axon to regenerate after injury, the affected neuron must transform its damaged proximal stump into a regrowing axon with a growth cone, which then must extend past the injury site and re-establish connection with its original target tissues. Growth cone formation and axonal extension are regulated by both the intrinsic regenerative growth capacity of the adult neuron, as well as the extrinsic, often inhibitory, microenvironment of the developed organism (Harel and Strittmatter, 2006; Yiu and He, 2006; Hilliard, 2009). Calcium and cAMP levels, as well as the DLK-1 mitogen-activated protein kinase-signaling pathway and genes of the Krüppel-like transcription factor family, have been shown to be essential intrinsic factors for growth cone initiation and extension (Neumann and Woolf, 1999; Spira et al., 2001; Neumann et al., 2002; Hammarlund et al., 2009; Moore et al., 2009; Ghosh-Roy et al., 2010). A crucial inhibitory role for the regenerating axons was found for molecules such as Nogo, myelin-associated glycoprotein and oligodendrocyte myelin glycoprotein, and their receptors Nogo receptor, P75, TROY, and LINGO (Harel and Strittmatter, 2006; Yiu and He, 2006). Furthermore, developmental axonal guidance molecules such as UNC-6/Netrin, Slit, Ephrin B3, and Semaphorin 4D, have also been shown to affect regenerative axonal outgrowth and guidance (Pasterkamp et al., 2001; Benson et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2007; Gabel et al., 2008; Low et al., 2008). However, what happens to an axon beyond the injury site and how target re-innervation occurs, remains poorly understood. In different models proposed, target reconnection might occur through precise regrowth of the axon from the injury site toward its target following...
the original axonal path, or along an ectopic path, or through sprouting from an axonal region far from the injury site along an ectopic path (Harel and Strittmatter, 2006; Hilliard, 2009). Axonal fusion is an alternative form of axonal reconnection, whereby regenerating axons can bridge the site of damage and re-establish connection with their separated axonal fragments. This fusion process has been described in crayfish, earthworm, and leech (Hoy et al., 1967; Birse and Bittner, 1976; Deriemer et al., 1983; Macagno et al., 1985), although the cellular and molecular mechanisms regulating this process remain unknown. The development of laser-based surgery to transect individual axons in C. elegans (Yanik et al., 2004), has enabled this organism to become a crucial genetic model system to study axonal regeneration at the cellular and molecular level (Ghosh-Roy and Chisholm, 2010). Different C. elegans neurons have been widely reported to undergo robust regeneration following axotomy (Yanik et al., 2004, 2006; Wu et al., 2007; Gabel et al., 2008), and recent evidence suggests that axonal regeneration in C. elegans deficient in the cAMP phosphodiesterase gene (pde-4) may occur through axonal fusion (Ghosh-Roy et al., 2010). A fusion process also
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regulates pruning of excessive dendritic branches in the PVD neuron (Orens-Suissa et al., 2010).

Here, we conclusively demonstrate that following laser-induced axonal transection, the C. elegans mechanosensory neurons ALM and PLM undergo specific axonal fusion as a regenerative mechanism. We find axonal fusion to be critical for survival of the separated axonal fragment. We show that upon fusion, membrane continuity of the axonal tract is reconstituted, and that both anterograde and retrograde cytoplasmic diffusion is restored. Furthermore, we present evidence for a high level of specific recognition regulating fusion between the separated proximal and distal axonal fragments, suggesting the presence of error-checking or cross-talk to ensure accuracy of the repair mechanism.

RESULTS

The axons of the ALM and PLM mechanosensory neurons extend in an anterior direction from their cell bodies on both sides of the animal; ALM (left and right) in the anterior half and PLM (left and right) in the posterior half of the animal mediate detection of light mechanical stimuli applied to the head and tail regions, respectively (Boumoutas and Chalfie, 2007). The cell bodies and neurites of these neurons were visualized using green fluorescent protein (GFP) driven by the mec-4 promoter (Pmec-4::GFP) (Fig. 1A). Following UV-laser axotomy, both neurons underwent extensive regrowth in over 90% of cases (Yanik et al., 2006) (Fig. 1B–F), as characterized by the formation of a growth cone at the tip of the proximal axon that on average extended 50–100 μm (Wu et al., 2007). Such regrowth frequently (71% for ALM; 48% for PLM) resulted in the proximal axon making contact with its separated distal fragment (Fig. 1B,C,F), an event that was essential for the survival of the separated fragment and for the restoration of the axonal structure (Fig. 1F). In the absence of proximal-distal axon reconnection, the distal process invariably underwent Wallerian degeneration, as evidenced by axonal beading, fragmentation, and ultimately disappearance of the distal axonal fragment (Fig. 1D,E). In a minority of cases (2% ALM; 21% PLM), reconnection between the separated axonal fragments was detected by visual analysis but degeneration of the distal fragment still progressed (Fig. 1F), indicating that either a successful contact was not established or that it had occurred too late to prevent degeneration from progressing.

To establish whether reconnection of the regrowing axon with its distal fragment was indeed a fusion of the two membranes, we performed transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis of serial sections taken from a wild-type animal 24 hr post-axotomy. As shown in Figure 2, PLM regrowth proceeded through extension of a branch from the proximal axon (Fig. 2, sections 1342, 1376) that circumvented the injury site (Fig. 2, sections 1412–1480) and reconnected with the distal fragment (Fig. 2, sections 1508, 1509). We found continuation of the plasma membrane between the regrowing axon and its distal fragment (Fig. 2, section 1508), demonstrating that the proximal-distal reconnection occurs through a fusion of the axonal membranes.

We then asked if this membrane fusion was sufficient for the re-establishment of cytoplasmic continuity. To address this, we analyzed both anterograde and retrograde cytoplasmic diffusion across the injury site using the Kaede protein. Kaede is a large tetrameric green fluorescent protein that can be irreversibly converted to fluorescent red (Ando et al., 2002), and that is too large to pass across gap junctions (Simpson et al., 1977; Loewenstein, 1981; see Supp. Fig. S1, which is available online). We generated a transgenic strain in which the Kaede coding sequence was driven by the mec-4 promoter (Pmec-4::Kaede), and thereby expressed specifically within the mechanosensory neurons. First, to study anterograde cytoplasmic diffusion, we performed laser axotomies on ALM and PLM neurons expressing Kaede and irradiated the cell body and a short section of the attached process to convert Kaede from green to red specifically within this region. In the absence of proximal-distal reconnection, the converted, red form of the protein did not diffuse into the distal axon (Supp. Fig. S2A,C), indicating that cytoplasmic movement had been disrupted and that the axon had been completely transected. Twenty-four hours post-axotomy when proximal-distal reconnection had occurred, Kaede converted in the cell body was observed traversing the injury site and within the distal axonal segment (Fig. 3A,C; Supp. Fig. S2D; quantified in Supp. Fig. S2B,E), showing that anterograde diffusion had been re-established. Second, to analyze retrograde diffusion, we again performed axotomies on ALM and PLM, but converted Kaede in the distal axon and visualized movement of the red protein towards the cell body.
When regeneration proceeded without proximal-distal reconnection, converted, red Kaede could not diffuse into the proximal axonal segment (Supp. Fig. S3A,C). However, when reconnection occurred, Kaede converted within the distal axon could diffuse into the proximal axon and cell body (Fig. 3B,C; Supp. Fig. S3D; quantified in Supp. Fig. S3B,E). In every instance of proximal axon regrowth that failed to result in reconnection with the distal fragment, neither anterograde nor retrograde movement of Kaede was observed across the cut site (Fig. 3C). A minor proportion of regrowing axons failed to display anterograde (6% ALM and 13% PLM) or retrograde (3% ALM and 13% PLM) diffusion despite the proximal and distal axon fragments appearing visually reconnected. Interestingly, in these animals the distal axon underwent Wallerian degeneration, indicating that proper axonal fusion had failed, and that it is crucial for efficient axonal regeneration and survival of the distal fragment. Lack of complete axonal fusion is also the

---

**Fig. 3.** Axonal fusion re-establishes cytoplasmic continuity. Kaede was converted in PLM in the bracketed regions 24 hr post-axotomy to analyze anterograde (A) and retrograde (B) cytoplasmic diffusion. Representative images show green and red fluorescence before conversion in panels (i) and (ii), and after conversion in panels (iii) and (iv). Proximal-distal reconnection permitted anterograde (A) and retrograde (B) diffusion of red fluorescent Kaede across the site of axotomy (A, iv; B, iv). Filled arrowheads point to site of axotomy; open arrowheads show fusion site; scale bars = 50 μm. C: Quantification of animals displaying either anterograde or retrograde diffusion of Kaede in the absence (no contact) or presence of reconnection for both ALM and PLM. Error bars: standard error of proportion; n values within each graph. Note the presence of a weak background signal before conversion through the red filter (A, B).

**Fig. 4.** Specificity in axonal fusion. A: Schematic diagrams of the zhls5(Pmec-4::GFP); vdEx166(Plad-2::mCherry) strain where ALM and PLM were visualized with green fluorescence and ALN and PLN with red fluorescence. B: Quantification of the number of axons displaying specific or aspecific fusion following axotomy of either ALM or PLM (left bars, one axon cut), or after simultaneous axotomy of both ALM and ALN, or PLM and PLN (right bars, two axons cut). Error bars: standard error of proportion; n values within each graph.
most plausible explanation for the distal fragment degeneration found in a minority of GFP-expressing neurons in which reconnection was observed (compare Figs. 1F and 3C). Taken together, these results conclusively demonstrate that axonal regeneration occurs by way of axonal fusion, with re-establishment of both membrane and cytoplasmic continuity.

We next asked whether axonal fusion displayed specificity, whereby regenerating axons could selectively find their own separated fragments. To analyze this, we generated a transgenic strain in which we could visualize sets of two axons running in close association but labeled with two different fluorophores. The ALN and PLN neurons are each bilateral, with cell bodies in the lumbar ganglion and a long process that extends almost the entire length of the animal, terminating within the nerve ring (White, 1986). The ALN and ALM neurites fasciculate for much of the length of ALM, whereas PLN and PLM fasciculate for approximately three quarters of the length of the PLM axon (White, 1986). TEM analysis of serial sections confirmed fasciculation of PLM and PLN at the site of axotomy (Supp. Fig. S4). We expressed mCherry from the lad-2 promoter (Plad-2::mCherry) to visualize PLN and ALN, while ALM and PLM were visualized as previously described with GFP (Pnmc-4::GFP) (Fig. 4A). We first asked whether a regrowing axon could fuse non-specifically to an intact, second axon. We performed axotomy of ALM and PLM and analysed the ALN and PLN neurons for the presence of GFP. Diffusion of the GFP into the non-mCherry-expressing neuron would imply that an aspecific fusion event had occurred. GFP was never observed in ALN nor PLN (Fig. 4B), indicating that when a single axon is severed, the regenerating process can specifically fuse with its own distal fragment. We then asked whether a regrowing axon could maintain its ability to specifically fuse with its own distal fragment even when in the presence of a second severed axon of another neuron. To achieve this, we performed paired axotomies on ALM and ALN, as well as PLM and PLN, and 24 hr post-axotomy analyzed each pair of neurons for the transfer of fluorophores. We found a high rate of specificity for both ALM (94%) and PLM (100%) (Fig. 4B). However, a small number of aspecific fusion events, occurring concurrently with specific fusion, were observed in both ALM and PLM (ALM 4/31; PLM 1/11); complete aspecific fusion (not associated with specific fusion) was never found in PLM, and only two cases were observed in ALM. Interestingly, we observed that both ALN and PLN axons displayed a high propensity for regeneration and that they also presented specific proximal-distal reconnection (Supp. Fig. S5). Overall, axonal fusion displayed specificity in almost every instance for both ALM and PLM, even when an adjacent axon was simultaneously severed. These findings may suggest the existence of signaling and cross-talk between the distal fragment and its regrowing axon to ensure specific reconnection and fusion occur.

**DISCUSSION**

We demonstrate here that axonal fusion with restoration of membrane and cytoplasmic continuity is a key mechanism of axonal regeneration in *C. elegans* neurons. We observe that axonal fusion is necessary to re-establish the original axonal tract, and to prevent degeneration of the separated distal fragment that otherwise invariably occurs in a Wallerian fashion. Our results support and extend to a genetically amenable organism previous observations in different invertebrate species where axonal fusion has been described as an important mechanism underlying successful axonal regeneration after injury (Hoy et al., 1967; Birse and Bittner, 1976; Deriemer et al., 1983). An interesting prediction for the fusion model is that the regrowing axon must be able to find its own separated fragment in order to reform the correct axonal shaft. Our experiments indeed show that the regrowing proximal axons of both ALM and PLM neurons can specifically recognize their own separated distal fragments, even in the presence of a second distal fragment of another severed neuron. These results strongly suggest that specific signaling events regulate self-recognition between separated fragments of the same axon to ensure accuracy.

Compared to traditional models of axonal regeneration, axonal fusion represents a fundamentally different mechanism by which restoration of the original axonal tract can be achieved. This modality appears highly efficient for the re-establishment of connection with target tissue, in that transected axons can restore their trajectories by bridging just the damage site instead of regrowing their entire length beyond an injury site. Membrane fusion has been described and extensively studied in important biological events such as endocytosis, exocytosis, plasma membrane sealing, mitochondrial fusion, and viral infection, and several molecules have been identified in each of these processes (Harrison, 2008; Martens and McMahon, 2008; Rizo and Rosenmund, 2008). It would seem likely that some of the molecules regulating membrane fusion in these biological processes are also key elements in axonal fusion during regeneration.

The nematode-specific fusogen Epithelial Fusion Failure 1 (EFF-1) has been reported to be required for axonal reconnection (Ghosh-Roy et al., 2010). However, disruption of the eff-1 gene led to a significant reduction in regrowth after axotomy and its role in mediating specific axonal fusion is still unclear. EFF-1 functions in homotypic fusion of epithelial and muscle cells (Mohler et al., 2002), and has recently been shown to regulate dendritic pruning in the *C. elegans* PVD neuron (Oren-Sussia et al., 2010). EFF-1, along with the other nematode-specific fusion molecule AFF-1 (Anchor cell Fusion Failure) (Sapir et al., 2007), appears to play an essential role in most, if not all, cellular fusion events in *C. elegans*. The finding of axonal fusion events in different species (Hoy et al., 1967; Birse and Bittner, 1976; Deriemer et al., 1983) may imply that conserved molecules are required for the process to occur, and the discovery of these molecular players will be critical for furthering our understanding of this regenerative mechanism.

It is tempting to speculate on the existence of a “save-me” signaling pathway to mediate recognition between the dying distal fragment and the regrowing proximal axon segment.
Such a signaling mechanism could be reminiscent of the “eat-me” signaling pathway that occurs during the process of apoptotic cell recognition by phagocytic cells. This signaling pathway begins with the presentation of phosphatidyserine on the surface of the dying cell (Fadok et al., 1992), which is then either directly or indirectly recognized by several different classes of receptors on the phagocytic cell surface (Erwig and Henson, 2008; Fadeel and Xue, 2009). Furthermore, it has become apparent that secreted molecules, such as the nucleotides ATP and UTP (Elliott et al., 2009) and the transferrin-like protein, TTR-52 (Wang et al., 2010), are integral components of this recognition process. However, an alternative and equally plausible hypothesis is that the unique local extracellular environment of the axon mediates specificity of axonal fusion by giving it easier access to its own separated fragments. Moreover, intrinsic neuronal differences, for example, type of neurotransmitter used (ALM and PLM use glutamate, while ALN and PLN use acetylcholine), may also have a role in ensuring fusion occurs specifically. Whether specific molecules, such as those involved in phagocytosis, or whether other factors unique to individual neurons mediate self-recognition during axonal fusion remains to be determined.

Peripheral and central nerve injuries cause significant life-long disabilities because repair rarely leads to reinnervation of the target tissue. Our results suggest that similar mechanisms might be in place, or could be exploited, to enhance axonal regeneration and repair in higher mammals including humans and provide mechanistic insight and support for the development of therapeutic strategies for nerve injuries based on facilitating axonal membrane sealing and reconnection.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Strains and Genetics

Standard techniques were used for C. elegans maintenance, crosses, and other genetic manipulations (Brenner, 1974). All experiments were performed at 22°C. The wild-type N2 Bristol isolate, and the following transgenes were used: zdsIs5[Pmec-4::GFP], vdEx128[Pmec-4::Kaede (10 ng/μl)], vdEx166[Plad-2::mCherry (25 ng/μl), Podr-1::dsRED (30 ng/μl)]. The zdsIs5 strain was kindly provided by Scott Clark.

Molecular Biology

Standard molecular biology techniques were used. The Pmec-4::Kaede plasmid was generated through modification of the Pmec-4::GFP plasmid in which the GFP coding sequence was excised with KpnI/EcoRI and replaced with the full Kaede coding sequence (amplified from plasmid pMH22, containing Pdyf7::Kaede) (Heiman and Shaham, 2009). The Plad-2::mCherry plasmid was created through insertion of a 5.25-kb FseI/Ascl fragment of the lad-2 5′ UTR (amplified using the following primers: external forward 5′-aatttaccttggtcctc-3′; external reverse 5′-tagtgcgaagtgaccttacaac-3′; internal forward 5′-tcagtgggcgcgcctgttg-0; internal reverse 5′-tcagttgggcgccccgtttggttgg gaaaaatccccccacgatgctgc-3′) and a KpnI/EcoRI mCherry amplicon into the pSM vector (a gift from Cori Bargmann).

Laser Axotomy and Microscopy

Animals were anaesthetized on agar pads using 0.01–0.05% Tetramisole. We performed laser axotomy using a MicroPoint Laser System Basic Unit attached to a Zeiss Axio Imager A1 (Objective EC Plan-NeoFluar 100×/1.30 Oil M27). This laser delivers 120 μJoules of 337 nm energy with a 2–6-nsec pulse length. Axotomies were completed with 20 to 30 pulses on larval stage-4 animals at a point approximately 50 μm anterior to the ALM, PLM, and PLN cell bodies, and approximately 500 μm from the cell body of ALN. Animals were analyzed with a Zeiss Axio Imager Z1 equipped with a Photometrics Cool Snap HQ2 camera and analysis was performed using Metamorph software. Axon regrowth was quantified by measuring the length of the longest ALM or PLM process beyond the cut site 24 hr post-axotomy; neurons that underwent axonal fusion were excluded from these quantifications.

Conversion of Kaede

Kaede experiments were performed with a LSM 510 META confocal microscope and Zen 2008 software. Green fluorescence was analyzed with a 488-nm laser and red fluorescence was visualized with a 543-nm laser. Conversion was achieved with 100 iterations of 405-nm irradiation (1% transmission at 30 mW) to a region of interest (created around the cell body and a short section of the attached processes for analysis of anterograde diffusion; around the distal axon anterior to the cut or fusion site for retrograde studies; or around the entire ALM neuron, except for the nerve ring branch, for analysis of diffusion across gap junctions). For maximum conversion, 20 to 30 repetitions across the region of interest were needed, requiring a total of 5–10 min on average. Exposure to 488-nm light did not cause green-red conversion of Kaede, as analyzed by prolonged exposure (10 min) to this wavelength and subsequent analysis of fluorescence intensity (data not shown). Diffusion of Kaede, either before or after axotomy, has never been observed across the gap junctions formed between the mechanosensory neurons and their contacting neurons (as judged by complete lack of fluorescent signal in these neurons).

Changes in fluorescence intensity were calculated using ImageJ software. Mean fluorescence was measured in the middle of each axon with a line scan on the proximal axonal end immediately adjacent to the transection site and on the distal axon end adjacent to the site of axotomy or fusion. Identically sized regions were used for each group of images. Ratios were calculated between mean fluorescence after conversion and mean fluorescence before conversion, and these were calculated for both green and red fluorescence. As such, a value of 1.0 indicated no change had occurred, a value greater than 1.0 indicated an enhancement of fluorescence in the region of interest, and a value lower than 1.0 indicated reduced fluorescence.

Electron Microscopy

Twenty-four hours post-axotomy of PLM, fluorescence imaging of animals was used to compare cell anatomy prior to fixation. Single animals were fixed
in buffered aldehydes, then in osmium tetroxide, en bloc stained with uranyl acetate, and positioned in groups in agar cubes prior to embedment in Epon resin (Hall, 1995). Serial thin sections were collected onto plastic-coated slot grids (Piolof orm) from multiple animals at once, and post-stained with uranyl acetate. Digital TEM images were collected using iTEM software on an Olympus Morada camera mounted on a Philips CM10 electron microscope. Sites of damage and repair were located due to their proximity to the rectal valve cells; this consistent locale helped to re-locate damage sites efficiently within several thousand serial sections.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Primer of Biostatistics 3.01. Error of proportions was used to assess variation across a single population. Two-way comparison was performed using the Student’s t-test.
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